



Effects of divalent dopants on the microstructure and conversion efficiency of Cr⁴⁺ ions in Cr,Me:YAG (Me – Ca, Mg, Ca/Mg) transparent ceramics

Mykhailo A. Chaika^{1,2,*}, Giulia Mancardi³, Robert Tomala¹, Wiesław Stek¹, Oleh M. Vovk²

¹Institute of Low Temperature and Structure Research, Polish Academy of Sciences, Okolna 2, 50-422 Wrocław, Poland

²STC “Institute for Single Crystals”, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, 60, Nauky Avenue, 61072 Kharkiv, Ukraine

³Department of Chemistry, University College London, 20 Gordon St, London, WC1H 0AJ, UK

Received 30 August 2019; Received in revised form 23 January 2020; Accepted 4 March 2020

Abstract

The efficiency of Cr⁴⁺:YAG is directly proportional to the transparency and concentration of Cr⁴⁺ which can be tuned by changing the divalent dopant. The aim of this study was to investigate the influence of different kinds of divalent dopants on the properties of Cr,Me:YAG (Me = Ca, Mg or Ca/Mg) ceramics made by solid-state sintering in vacuum. Pure YAG phases with an in-line transmittance of 80% at 1064 nm were prepared. It was revealed that the Cr⁴⁺ concentration is directly proportional to the concentration of divalent dopants and it does not depend on the type of dopant. Our experiment proves that the efficiency of high optical quality Cr⁴⁺:YAG ceramics prepared by sintering does not change when different kinds of divalent additives are used.

Keywords: Cr⁴⁺:YAG, vacuum sintering, additives, optical properties

I. Introduction

Yttrium aluminium garnets doped with tetravalent chromium (Cr⁴⁺:YAG) are solid-state laser materials used as saturable absorber for the 1.06 mm laser emission [1–6]. Moreover, these materials are employed as tunable mid-IR lasers in the 1.3 to 1.6 μm spectral range [7]. The efficiency of Cr⁴⁺:YAG as laser materials is directly proportional to the transparency and to the concentration of Cr⁴⁺ [8–10]. These parameters can be improved by changing the concentration of functional dopants such as Ca and/or Mg. However, up to now, there are few works reporting a systematic study of the influence of these dopants on the properties of Cr⁴⁺,Me:YAG ceramics and there are questions that still need to be answered [9–14].

Cr⁴⁺:YAG ceramics are commonly produced by vacuum sintering from the initial oxides and CaO and MgO, which are added as sources of Ca and Mg counterions [12,15–18]. In the YAG crystal lattice, chromium can

coexist in different oxidation states as some other elements do [9,10,18–23]. The Cr⁴⁺:YAG ceramic synthesis requires the use of divalent dopants such as Ca or Mg, as charge compensators for Cr⁴⁺ ions. Only a small amount of Cr³⁺ ions recharges into Cr⁴⁺ valence state even when the concentration of divalent dopants is one order of magnitude higher than the Cr³⁺ concentration. The dependence of Cr⁴⁺ concentration on Me²⁺ doping level [9–12,24] and annealing conditions [13,14,25] has already been investigated, but, to our knowledge, there are not yet published reports discussing the properties of Cr⁴⁺,Ca:YAG, Cr⁴⁺,Mg:YAG and Cr⁴⁺,Ca,Mg:YAG ceramics sintered in a single technological cycle. Therefore, there is still an open question about which the best divalent dopants for Cr⁴⁺:YAG ceramics are.

The aim of this study was to investigate the influence of different kinds of divalent dopants on the properties of Cr⁴⁺,Me:YAG (Me = Ca, Mg or Ca/Mg) ceramics that seem to be promising for use as passive Q-switchers. Optical transparency of Cr⁴⁺,Me:YAG ceramics strongly depends on the concentration of divalent dopants [12] and therefore, divalent dopants were

*Corresponding author: tel: +48 514 221 675,
e-mail: m.chaika@intibs.pl

Table 1. Composition and thermal history/annealing conditions of each sample

Sample	Concentration [at.%]			Vacuum sintering		Air annealing	
	Cr	Ca	Mg	T [°C]	t [h]	T [°C]	t [h]
Cr,Ca:YAG	0.1	0.5	0	1750	10	1450	15
Cr,Mg:YAG	0.1	0.08	0.05	1750	10	1450	15
Cr,Ca,Mg:YAG	0.1	0	0.05	1750	10	1450	15

used in order to obtain the high optical qualities of the samples at the same technological cycle.

II. Experimental details

Three different compositions of Cr,Ca:YAG (with 0.1 at.% of Cr and 0.5 at.% of Ca), Cr,Mg:YAG (with 0.1 at.% of Cr and 0.05 at.% of Mg) and Cr,Ca,Mg:YAG (with 0.1 at.% of Cr, 0.5 at.% of Mg and 0.08 at.% of Ca) ceramics were prepared by reaction sintering in vacuum using high-purity precursors: Al₂O₃, Y₂O₃, MgO, CaO and Cr₂O₃ powders. The amount of each oxide powder was calculated based on the YAG stoichiometry, whereas Cr³⁺ and Me²⁺ concentrations were regulated to substitute Al³⁺ and Y³⁺ ions, respectively. The homogenization of the obtained mixture was carried out in a planetary mill for 15 h using high-purity aluminium oxide balls. The resulting mixture was dried in a drying cabinet for 24 h and then compacted by isostatic pressing at 250 MPa. The solid-phase synthesis was carried out in a vacuum oven at a temperature of 1750 °C for 10 h. This procedure is described in more detail in our previous reports [26–28]. The Cr³⁺ oxidation to the tetravalent state was achieved by annealing the samples in air at 1450 °C for 15 h. The composition and the temperature used during the manufacturing of each sample are presented in Table 1.

Optical studies were carried out using a Perkin Elmer Lambda 35 optical spectrophotometer in the wavelength range of 190–1100 nm. Microstructure of the ceramics was investigated using a scanning electron microscope (SEM, JEOL JSM-6390LV). X-ray diffraction analysis was performed on a DRON-3 X-ray diffractometer.

III. Results and discussion

3.1. Microstructure

XRD study (Fig. 1) of the phase composition of the Cr,Me:YAG ceramics confirms the presence of the cubic yttrium aluminium garnet phase according to the ICSD Card N170158. The diffraction data were refined with the cubic *Ia3d* space group for Y₃Al₅O₁₂ by using the Rietveld analysis (Fig. 2). The analysis gives lattice parameter 12.0002 ± 0.0002 Å, 11.9962 ± 0.0004 Å, 11.9987 ± 0.0003 Å for the Cr,Ca:YAG, Cr,Mg:YAG and Cr,Ca,Mg:YAG samples, respectively. As shown in Fig. 1, all the diffraction peaks are ascribable only to YAG phase, and impurities were not detected. There is no essential displacement of the XRD peaks after addition of Ca²⁺ and/or Mg²⁺ ions in comparison to the pure YAG. The minor changes of the lattice parameter of the ce-




Figure 1. X-ray diffraction patterns of ICSD Card N170158 of YAG (I) and the samples Cr,Ca:YAG (II), Cr,Mg:YAG (III) and Cr,Ca,Mg:YAG (IV)

ramics with different dopants can be explained by the difference between Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ radii, but the other reasons also can affect. Due to the complicated process of intercalation of Me²⁺ ions into YAG, the XRD results are not enough to determine the location of Me²⁺ incorporation into YAG structure. For example, recently the microstructure of Cr,Ca:YAG ceramics was investigated by means of high-resolution electron microscopy [27]. Inhomogeneous distribution of Ca²⁺ ions in YAG grains was shown, caused by the formation of Ca-rich layer of the same crystal structure and crystallographic orientation as the original grains. The concentration of Ca in this layer was higher than the solubility of Ca²⁺ ions in YAG. So high concentration of Ca might cause the




Figure 2. The Rietveld analysis of the Cr,Ca:YAG X-ray diffraction pattern with the main (hkl) values




Figure 3. SEM images of the thermally etched surface of Cr⁴⁺:YAG ceramics: a) Cr,Ca:YAG, b) Cr,Mg:YAG, c) Cr,Ca,Mg:YAG and d) grain size distribution in the samples

lattice distortion in this layer. In contrast to Ca²⁺ ions, no similar research has been provided for Mg²⁺-doped YAG ceramics.

The SEM investigation did not show the presence of impurity phases, in agreement with the XRD analysis. The addition of MgO led to an increase in grain size. This phenomenon could be recognised from Figs. 3a, 3b and 3c, i.e. two samples with Mg have larger grains than the sample with Ca as the only dopant. The grain size distribution of the Cr,Ca:YAG sample is in the range from 0.5 to 5 μm, whereas grains in the Cr,Mg:YAG and Cr,Ca,Mg:YAG samples have similar sizes, i.e. in the range from 0.5 to 11 μm (Fig. 3d). The average grain sizes of the Cr,Ca:YAG, Cr,Mg:YAG and Cr,Ca,Mg:YAG samples are 1.9 ± 0.2 , 3.9 ± 0.3 and 3.9 ± 0.3 , respectively. It should be noted that the concentration of Mg²⁺ in the two later ceramics is the same, while in one of them is lack of Ca²⁺. The addition of Ca²⁺ in the amount under the threshold of solubility does not affect the average grain sizes and size distribution of the Cr,Mg:YAG and Cr,Ca,Mg:YAG ceramics. It means that there is no mutual effect of Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ on the morphology of the ceramics. Regarding the influence of calcium ion, it was mentioned [29] that when Ca²⁺ concentration was increased to 0.5 at.%, the inhibition of the grain growth by Ca-rich layer took place.

According to our knowledge, no papers reporting the

influence of Ca/Mg ratio on the average grain size of Cr-doped YAG ceramics were published before. Zhou *et al.* [30] reported the rising average grain size with decreasing Ca/Mg ratio in YAG ceramics. This is consistent with our results though in the reported work [30] the YAG ceramics was sintered using TEOS as sintering aid and without Cr-additives. Some discrepancies with our results can be explained by the presence of Cr additives, not using TEOS, different concentration of Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺, and peculiarity of the sintering conditions. As it has been established earlier, the Cr³⁺ additive changed the sintering process of YAG ceramics [18] and redistributed divalent additives in the grain volume [29].

3.2. Optical properties

The in-line transmission spectra of the Cr,Me:YAG ceramics after vacuum sintering are presented in Fig. 4 (solid line). After vacuum sintering, all samples contained Cr only in its trivalent state. The two absorption bands responsible for $^4A_2 \rightarrow ^4T_2$ and $^4A_2 \rightarrow ^4T_1$ transitions, with maximum absorption at 590 and 430 nm, respectively, give a characteristic green colour to the Cr,Me:YAG ceramics [31–33]. The transparencies of the samples were comparable and the in-line transmissions were about 80% at 1064 nm and 72–75% at 375 nm (Fig. 4) indicating a high optical quality of the sintered samples.




Figure 4. In-line transmission spectra of Cr,Ca:YAG (red line) Cr,Ca,Mg:YAG (blue line) and Cr,Mg:YAG (green line) samples after vacuum sintering (solid line) at 1750 °C for 10 h and air annealing at 1450 °C for 15 h (dash line)

The formation of Cr^{4+} ions in the Cr,Ca:YAG ceramics takes place during annealing in an oxidizing ambient where the tetravalent impurities can be stabilized through the formation of structural defects in the lattice [34–37]. To recharge chromium into the tetravalent state, the samples were annealed in air at 1450 °C for 15 h. The air annealing provides the rise of the absorption bands belonging to Cr^{4+} ions that lead to the drop in transparency of the samples (Fig 4 dash line). As a result, the crystals' colour changed from light green, typical of Cr^{3+} , to dark-brown, typical of Cr^{4+} doped YAG. Since no absorption of Cr^{4+} ions was detected after vacuum sintering, the observed change in the absorption of the samples after air annealing originates only from the formation of Cr^{4+} ions. Absorption of Cr^{3+} is weaker than of Cr^{4+} as seen from Fig. 4. Therefore, subtraction of the absorption spectra of the sample before air annealing from that after annealing may then be assigned to Cr^{4+} ions alone [38].

Figure 5 represents the absorption spectra of Cr^{4+} ions in the samples. The absorption coefficients




Figure 5. Absorption spectra of Cr^{4+} in Cr,Ca:YAG (red line), Cr,Ca,Mg:YAG (blue line) and Cr,Mg:YAG (green line) ceramics after vacuum sintering and oxidation annealing

of Cr^{4+} ions in the Cr,Ca:YAG, Cr,Mg:YAG and Cr,Ca,Mg:YAG samples were approximately 3.6, 0.55 and 1.3 cm^{-1} at 1030 nm after annealing. The concentration of Cr^{4+} ions was calculated from the absorption of Cr^{4+} ions in the samples (see Fig. 5) according to the methodology described in the literature [12]. The concentrations of Cr ions are presented in Table 2. The concentration of Cr^{3+} and Ca^{2+} ions was taken from the initial powder mixtures. The sample Cr,Ca:YAG had the highest concentration of Cr^{4+} ions, both in the octahedral and in the tetrahedral sites. The ratio between Cr^{4+} and the total Cr in the samples Cr,Ca:YAG, Cr,Mg:YAG and Cr,Ca,Mg:YAG was 24.4, 3.3 and 8.6%, respectively. Up to 10% of the divalent additives compensated Cr of its tetravalent state. The distribution of Cr^{4+} ions between octahedral and tetrahedral sites slightly depends on the divalent impurities: the relative population of tetrahedral sites occupied by Cr^{4+} ions was a little bit higher in the sample doped only with Mg.

IV. Discussion

As it can be expected, different compositions lead to different properties of the Cr,Me:YAG ceramics. The peculiarity of Cr^{4+} :YAG materials is the requirement of divalent additives to stabilize Cr^{4+} ions; these can be Ca, Mg or both. The presence of these additives has an influence on the Cr^{3+} to Cr^{4+} ions transformation as well as on the microstructure and the transparency of the ceramics. Early, we have shown that the different behaviour of the Ca:YAG and Mg:YAG ceramics is due to a different kind of interaction between CaO and MgO with the basic components of YAG, i.e. Al_2O_3 and Y_2O_3 [34]. Therefore, it can be assumed that the Cr,Me:YAG ceramics, where Me stands for Ca, Mg or a mixture of Ca and Mg, has dissimilar characteristics.

It was shown that the sample microstructure is determined by the nature of the dopants. The average grain size of the Cr,Ca:YAG sample was $1.9 \pm 0.2 \mu\text{m}$, whereas it was $3.4 \pm 0.2 \mu\text{m}$ in the Ca:YAG ceramics [34] with the same concentration of CaO; moreover, the Ca:YAG ceramics was totally opaque. The discrepancy in the grain size and optical behaviour between these samples is due to the presence/absence of Cr_2O_3 additives. As we have discussed in our previous work [12], the grain size in the final ceramics depends on the interaction between CaO and Cr_2O_3 in the early stages of sintering. The Cr,Mg:YAG and Mg:YAG [34] ceramics did not show any difference in the transparency and microstructure (except for the presence/absence of Cr^{3+} ions absorption bands). This means that MgO and Cr_2O_3 do not interact during sintering, in contrast with what was observed for CaO.

The nature of divalent additives does not have an influence on the transparency and the Cr^{4+} ions formation efficiency of Me,Cr:YAG ceramics. The in-line transmittance of the three samples is very similar in the range of 300–1100 nm. This indicates the possibility to pre-

Table 2. Parameters of Cr,Me:YAG ceramics after oxidizing annealing at 1400 °C for 15 h (N_D and N_A correspond to the concentrations of Cr^{4+} ions in tetrahedral and octahedral positions, respectively)

Sample	$N_D \times 10^{17}$ [cm ⁻³]	$N_A \times 10^{17}$ [cm ⁻³]	N_D/N_A	$\text{Cr}^{4+}/(\text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{Mg}^{2+})$	$\text{Cr}^{4+}/(\text{Cr}^{3+} + \text{Cr}^{4+})$
Cr,Ca:YAG	19.3	36.9	0.52	0.081	0.244
Cr,Mg:YAG	2.9	4.6	0.65	0.109	0.033
Cr,Ca,Mg:YAG	7.0	12.9	0.54	0.111	0.086

pare highly transparent ceramics with CaO, MgO or the two of them. The concentration of Cr^{4+} ions is directly proportional to the concentration of divalent additives and it does not depend on their nature, as it can be seen from $\text{Cr}^{4+}/(\text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{Mg}^{2+})$ ratio in Table 2. After air annealing, the Cr,Ca:YAG sample demonstrated the highest concentration of Cr^{4+} ions among the tested compositions. In the Cr,Ca:YAG ceramics, about 25% of Cr^{3+} ions recharge into Cr^{4+} , whereas for the Cr,Mg:YAG only less than 4% does so; however, the efficiency of divalent additives is approximately 10% for all samples.

To our knowledge, it was the first time that Cr,Ca:YAG, Cr,Ca,Mg:YAG, and Cr,Mg:YAG ceramics were sintered under the same conditions and their properties were systematically compared. It was demonstrated that high-quality Cr^{4+} :YAG ceramics with similar efficiency can be prepared by using different kinds of divalent additives (CaO, MgO or both). The experiments showed that these materials are free from impurities, highly transparent and have analogous concentrations of Cr^{4+} ions. Our results suggest that it is possible to prepare composite structures such as Cr^{4+} :YAG/Nd:YAG using either CaO or MgO as additives.

However, there are some limitations that have to be taken into account: i) the concentration of divalent additives in the samples is not the same; ii) using equal concentrations of CaO and MgO additives requires different sintering parameters; iii) the same sintering conditions necessitate different concentrations of divalent additives, making it impossible to correctly compare their properties. Future work should, therefore, include sintering of Cr,Me:YAG ceramics with various concentrations of Cr and Me.

V. Conclusions

Cr^{4+} :YAG ceramics with different divalent dopants was sintered by solid-state reaction at 1750 °C in a vacuum. Our experiment reveals that the efficacy of Cr^{3+} to Cr^{4+} transformation under air annealing does not depend on the type of divalent dopant. X-ray and SEM analyses showed the formation of pure YAG phases, without the presence of any impurity. The in-line transmittance of the samples in the range of 300–1100 nm is comparable. This indicates the possibility to prepare highly transparent ceramics using CaO, MgO or both as additives. The efficiency of the transformation of Cr^{3+} to Cr^{4+} under air annealing is directly proportional to the concentration of divalent dopant and it does not depend

on its nature. This paves the way towards the use of either Ca or Mg as a divalent dopant to prepare Cr^{4+} :YAG ceramics of high optical quality.

Acknowledgments: The authors are grateful to Dr. A.G. Doroshenko and Dr. S.V. Parkhomenko for their help in sintering of the sample. The work has been done under the Agreement on scientific cooperation between the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine and the Polish Academy of Sciences. Part of this work has been done based on the collaboration in the frame of International Polish-Ukrainian Laboratory of Crystalline Optical Materials.

References

1. P. Yankov, “ Cr^{4+} :YAG Q-switching of Nd: Host laser oscillators”, *J. Phys. D Appl. Phys.*, **27** [6] (1994) 1118.
2. O.A. Buryy, S.B. Ubiszki, S.S. Melnyk, A.O. Matkovskii, “The Q-switched Nd^{3+} :YAG and Yb^{3+} :YAG microchip lasers optimization and comparative analysis”, *Appl. Phys. B Lasers Opt.*, **78** [3] (2004) 291–297.
3. X. Chen, Y. Wu, N. Wei, J. Qi, Z. Lu, Q. Zhang, T. Hua, Q. Zeng, T. Lu, “The roles of cation additives on the color center and optical properties of Yb:YAG transparent ceramic”, *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.*, **38** [4] (2018) 1957–1965.
4. W. Strek, L. Marciniak, P. Gluchowski, D. Hreniak, “Infrared laser stimulated broadband white emission of Yb^{3+} :YAG nanoceramics”, *Opt. Mater.*, **35** [11] (2013) 2013–2017.
5. Z. Dai, V. Boiko, M. Markowska, A. Gerus, K. Grzeszkiewicz, J. Hölsä, D. Hreniak, “Optical studies of $\text{Y}_3(\text{Al,Ga})_5\text{O}_{12}:\text{Ce}^{3+},\text{Cr}^{3+},\text{Nd}^{3+}$ nano-phosphors obtained by the Pechini method”, *J. Rare Earths*, **37** [11] (2019) 1132–1136.
6. A. Wajler, A. Kozłowska, M. Nakielska, K. Lesniewska-Matys, A. Sidorowicz, D. Podniesinski, P. Putyra, “Non-linear absorption of submicrometer grain-size cobalt-doped magnesium aluminate transparent ceramics”, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, **97** [6] (2014) 1692–1695.
7. A. Sennaroglu, “Optimization of power performance in room-temperature continuous-wave Cr^{4+} :YAG lasers”, *Opt. Commun.*, **192** [12] (2001) 83–89.
8. A. Wajler, A. Kozłowska, M. Nakielska, K. Leśniewska-Matys, A. Sidorowicz, D. Podniesiński, P. Putyra, “Non-linear absorption of submicrometer grain-size cobalt-doped magnesium aluminate transparent ceramics”, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, **97** [6] (2014) 1692–1695.
9. T. Zhou, L. Zhang, H. Yang, X. Qiao, P. Liu, D. Tang, J. Zhang, “Effects of sintering aids on the transparency and conversion efficiency of Cr^{4+} ions in Cr:YAG transparent ceramics”, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, **98** [8] (2015) 2459–2464.
10. A. Ikesue, K. Yoshida, K. Kamata, “Transparent Cr^{4+} -

- doped YAG ceramics for tunable lasers”, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, **79** [2] (1996) 507–509.
11. M.A. Chaika, O.M. Vovk, N.A. Safronova, S.V. Parkhomenko, A.G. Doroshenko, A.V. Tolmachev, “Mutual influence of additives of Ca and Si on properties of Cr-doped YAG ceramics”, *Func. Mater.*, **23** [3] (2016) 398–403.
 12. M.A. Chaika, N.A. Dulina, A.G. Doroshenko, S.V. Parkhomenko, O.V. Gayduk, R. Tomala, W. Strek, D. Hreniak, G. Mancardi, O.M. Vovk, “Influence of calcium concentration on formation of tetravalent chromium doped $Y_3Al_5O_{12}$ ceramics”, *Ceram. Int.*, **44** [12] (2018) 13513–13519.
 13. T. Zhou, L. Zhang, J. Zhang, H. Yang, P. Liu, Y. Chen, Q. Xuebin, D. Tang, “Improved conversion efficiency of Cr^{4+} ions in Cr:YAG transparent ceramics by optimization the particle sizes of sintering aids”, *Opt. Mater.*, **50** (2015) 11–14.
 14. T. Zhou, L. Zhang, Z. Li, S. Wei, J. Wu, L. Wang, H. Yang, Z. Fu, H. Chen, C. Wong, Q. Zhang, “Enhanced conversion efficiency of Cr^{4+} ion in Cr:YAG transparent ceramic by optimizing the annealing process and doping concentration”, *J. Alloys Compd.*, **703** (2017) 34–39.
 15. F. Mohammadi, O. Mirzaee, M. Tajally, “Influence of TEOS and MgO addition on slurry rheological, optical, and microstructure properties of YAG transparent ceramic”, *Opt. Mater.*, **85** (2018) 174–182.
 16. Z. Lu, T. Lu, N. Wei, W. Zhang, B. Ma, J. Qi, Y. Guan, X. Chen, H. Wu, Y. Zhao, “Effect of air annealing on the color center in $Yb:Y_3Al_5O_{12}$ transparent ceramics with MgO as sintering additive”, *Opt. Mater.*, **47** (2015) 292–296.
 17. H. Yang, X. Qin, J. Zhang, S. Wang, J. Ma, L. Wang, Q. Zhang, “Fabrication of Nd:YAG transparent ceramics with both TEOS and MgO additives”, *J. Alloys Compd.*, **509** [17] (2011) 5274–5279.
 18. M. Chaika, W. Paszkowicz, W. Strek, D. Hreniak, R. Tomala, N. Safronova, O. Vovk, “Influence of Cr doping on the phase composition of Cr,Ca:YAG ceramics by solid state reaction sintering”, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, **102** (2019) 2104–2115.
 19. W. Strek, R. Tomala, L. Marciniak, M. Lukaszewicz, B. Cichy, M. Stefanski, D. Hreniak, A. Kedzierski, M. Krosnicki, L. Seijo, “Broadband anti-Stokes white emission of Sr_2CeO_4 nanocrystals induced by laser irradiation”, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, **18** (2016) 27921–27927.
 20. M. Stefanski, M. Lukaszewicz, D. Hreniak, W. Strek, “Laser induced white emission generated by infrared excitation from $Eu^{3+}:Sr_2CeO_4$ nanocrystals”, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **146** (2017) 104705.
 21. V. Boiko, J. Zeler, M. Markowska, Z. Dai, A. Gerus, P. Bolek, D. Hreniak, “Persistent luminescence from $Y_3Al_2Ga_3O_{12}$ doped with Ce^{3+} and Cr^{3+} after X-ray and blue light irradiation”, *J. Rare Earths*, **37** [11] (2019) 1200–1205.
 22. O.G. Trubaieva, M.A. Chaika, A.I. Lalayants, “The growth, structure and luminescence properties of $ZnSe_{1-x}S_x$ materials”, *Lith. J. Phys.*, **58** [3] (2018) 254–260.
 23. R. Tomala, L. Marciniak, J. Li, Y. Pan, K. Lenczewska, W. Strek, D. Hreniak, “Comprehensive study of photoluminescence and cathodoluminescence of YAG:Eu $^{3+}$ nano- and microceramics”, *Opt. Mater.*, **50** (2015) 59–64.
 24. T. Zhou, L. Zhang, S. Wei, L. Wang, H. Yang, Z. Fu, H. Chen, F.A. Selim, Q. Zhang, “MgO assisted densification of highly transparent YAG ceramics and their microstructural evolution”, *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.*, **38** [2] (2018) 687–693.
 25. T. Zhou, L. Zhang, Z. Li, S. Wei, J. Wu, L. Wang, H. Yang, Z. Fu, H. Chen, D. Tang, C. Wong, Q. Zhang, “Toward vacuum sintering of YAG transparent ceramic using divalent dopant as sintering aids: Investigation of microstructural evolution and optical property”, *Ceram. Int.*, **43** [3] (2017) 3140–3146.
 26. R.P. Yavetskiy, V.N. Baumer, A.G. Doroshenko, Y.L. Kopylov, D.Y. Kosyanov, V.B. Kravchenko, S.V. Parkhomenko, A.V. Tolmachev, “Phase formation and densification peculiarities of $Y_3Al_5O_{12}:Nd^{3+}$ during reactive sintering”, *J. Cryst. Growth*, **401** (2014) 839–843.
 27. M.A. Chaika, P. Dluzewski, K. Morawiec, A. Szczepanska, K. Jablonska, G. Mancardi, A.G. Doroshenko, O. Vovk, “The role of Ca^{2+} ions in the formation of high optical quality $Cr_4^{+},Ca:YAG$ ceramics”, *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.*, **39** [11] (2019) 3344–3352.
 28. O.M. Vovk, M.A. Chaika, P.V. Mateychenko, R.P. Yavetskiy, D.Y. Kosyanov, S.V. Parkhomenko, “Revealing the morphological peculiarities of $Y_3Al_5O_{12}:Nd$ laser ceramics by ion beam sputtering”, *Func. Mater.*, **20** [4] (2013) 466–470.
 29. M. Chaika, R. Tomala, W. Strek, D. Hreniak, P. Dluzewski, K. Morawiec, K. Lesniewska-Matys, “Kinetics of Cr^{3+} to Cr^{4+} ion valence transformations and intra-lattice cation exchange of Cr^{4+} in Cr,Ca:YAG ceramics used as laser gain and passive Q-switching media”, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **151** [13] (2019) 134708.
 30. T. Zhou, L. Zhang, Z. Li, S. Wei, J. Wu, L. Wang, C. Wong, “Toward vacuum sintering of YAG transparent ceramic using divalent dopant as sintering aids: Investigation of microstructural evolution and optical property”, *Ceram. Int.*, **43** [3] (2017) 3140–3146.
 31. P. Gluchowski, R. Pązik, D. Hreniak, W. Stręk, “Luminescence properties of $Cr^{3+}:Y_3Al_5O_{12}$ nanocrystals”, *J. Lumines.*, **129** [5] (2009) 548–553.
 32. P. Gluchowski, R. Pązik, D. Hreniak, W. Stręk “Luminescence studies of Cr^{3+} doped $MgAl_2O_4$ nanocrystalline powders”, *Chem. Phys.*, **358** [1-2] (2009) 52–56.
 33. P. Gluchowski, W. Strek, “Luminescence and excitation spectra of $Cr^{3+}:MgAl_2O_4$ nanoceramics”, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, **140** [1] (2013) 222–227.
 34. M.A. Chaika, O.M. Vovk, A.G. Doroshenko, V.K. Klochkov, P.V. Mateychenko, S.V. Parkhomenko, O.G. Fedorov, “Influence of Ca and Mg doping on microstructure and optical properties of YAG ceramics”, *Func. Mater.*, **25** [2] (2017) 237–243.
 35. O.S. Bezkrivnyi, R. Lisiecki, L. Kepinski, “Relationship between morphology and structure of shape-controlled CeO_2 nanocrystals synthesized by microwave-assisted hydrothermal method”, *Cryst. Res. Technol.*, **51** [10] (2016) 554–560.
 36. S.S. Balabanov, E.M. Gavrishchuk, V.V. Drobotenko, O.V. Palashov, E.Y. Rostokina, R.P. Yavetskiy, “A new approach to $Y_3Al_5O_{12}$ transparent ceramics by vacuum sintering of spray-dried xerogels”, *Ceram. Int.*, **42** (2016) 961–965.
 37. S.S. Balabanov, Y.V. Bykov, S.V. Egorov, A.G. Ereemeev, E.M. Gavrishchuk, E.A. Khazanov, V.V. Zelenogorskii, “Yb:(YLa) $_2$ O $_3$ laser ceramics produced by microwave sintering”, *Quant. Elect.*, **43** (2013) 396–400.

38. R. Feldman, Y. Shimony, Z. Burshtein, “Dynamics of chromium ion valence transformations in Cr,Ca:YAG crystals used as laser gain and passive Q-switching media”, *Opt. Mater.*, **24** (2003) 333–344.